



Research Publications on Interviewing & Interrogation

Updated January 2026

1. Abbe, A., & Brandon, S. E. (2013). The role of rapport in investigative interviewing: A review. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 10(3), 237-249.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1386>
2. Abbe, A., & Brandon, S. E. (2014). Building and maintaining rapport in investigative interviews. *Police Practice and Research*, 15(3), 207-220.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2013.827835>
3. Alison, L., Alison, E., Noone, G., Elntib, S., & Christiansen, P. (2013). Why tough tactics fail and rapport gets results: Observing Rapport-Based Interpersonal Techniques (ORBIT) to generate useful information from terrorists. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 19(4), 411-431. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034564>
4. Alison, L., Alison, E., Noone, G., Elntib, S., Waring, S., & Christiansen, P. (2014). The efficacy of rapport-based techniques for minimizing counter-interrogation tactics amongst a field sample of terrorists. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 20(4), 421-430. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000021>
5. Alison, L., Alison, E., Noone, G., Elntib, S., Waring, S., & Christiansen, P. (2014). Whatever you say, say nothing: Individual differences in counter interrogation tactics amongst a field sample of right wing, AQ inspired, and paramilitary terrorists. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 68, 170-175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.04.031>
6. Alison, L., Barret-Pink, C., Surmon-Bohr, F., Shortland, N. D., Alison, E. K., & Christiansen, P. (2020). How to build rapport: Assessing the effectiveness of ORBIT training with

- police interviewers. In L. Alison, E. Alison, N. Shortland, & F. Surmon-Bohr (Eds.), *ORBIT: The science of rapport-based interviewing for law enforcement, security, and military* (pp. 166-181). Oxford University Press.
7. Alison, L., Giles, S., & McGuire, G. (2015). Blood from a stone: Why rapport works and torture doesn't in "enhanced" interrogations. *Investigative Interviewing: Research and Practice*, 7(2), 5-23. <http://www.iiirg.org/journal>
 8. Bhatt, S., & Brandon, S. (2015). Neurolinguistic programming (NLP) in investigative interviewing: Recommended alternative methods. *Investigative Interviewing: Research and Practice*, 7(2), 52-64. <https://www.iiirg.org/journal>
 9. Boyd, R. L., Blackburn, K. G., & Pennebaker, J. W. (2020). The narrative arc: Revealing core narrative structures through text analysis. *Science Advances*, 6(32). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba2196>
 10. Brandon, S. (Ed.) (2013). *A practitioner's guidebook to interviewing*. Federal Bureau of Investigation.
 11. Brandon, S. (Ed.) (2013). *A researcher's guidebook to interviewing*. Federal Bureau of Investigation.
 12. Brimbal, L., Bradford, B., Jackson, J., Hartwig, M., & Joseph, E. (2020). On the importance of a procedurally fair organizational climate for openness to change in law enforcement. *Law and Human Behavior*, 44(5), 394-411. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000422>
 13. Brimbal, L., Dianiska, R. E., Swanner, J. K., & Meissner, C. A. (2019). Enhancing cooperation and disclosure by manipulating affiliation and developing rapport in investigative interviews. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 25(2), 104-115. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000193>

14. Brimbal, L., Kleinman, S. M., Oleszkiewicz, J. S., & Meissner, C. A. (2019). Developing rapport and trust in the interrogative context: An empirically supported alternative. In S. J. Barela, M. Fallon, G. Gaggioli, J. D. Ohlin (Eds.), *Interrogation and torture: Integrating efficacy with law and morality* (pp. 141-196). Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190097523.003.0006>
15. Brimbal, L., Meissner, C. A., Kleinman, S. M., Phillips, E. L., Atkinson, D. J., Dianiska, R. E., Rothweiler, J., Oleszkiewicz, S., & Jones, M.S. (2021). Evaluating the benefits of an evidence-based approach to investigative interviewing: A training study with law enforcement investigators. *Law and Human Behavior*, 45(1), 55-67.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000437>
16. Bull, R. (2013). What is "believed" or actually "known" about characteristics that may contribute to being a good/effective interviewer? *Investigative Interviewing: Research and Practice*, 5(2), 128-143. <https://www.iiirg.org/journal>
17. Catlin, M., Wilson, D. B., Redlich, A. D., Bettens, T., Meissner, C. A., Bhatt, S., & Brandon, S. (2023). PROTOCOL: Interview and interrogation methods and their effects on true and false confessions: An update and extension. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 19, e1314. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1314>
18. Christiansen, P., Alison, L., & Alison, E. (2018). Well begun is half done: Interpersonal behaviours in distinct field interrogations with high-value detainees. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 23(1), 68-84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12111>
19. Dando, C. J., & Ormerod, T. C. (2020). Noncoercive human intelligence gathering. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 149(8), 1435-1448.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000724>

20. Davis, D., Soref, A., Villalobos, J. G., & Mikulincer, M. (2016). Priming states of mind can affect disclosure of threatening self-information: Effects of self-affirmation, mortality salience, and attachment orientations. *Law and Human Behavior*, 40(4), 351-361. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000184>
21. Dawson, E., & Hartwig, M. (2017). Rethinking the interview room: Promoting disclosure and rapport through priming. *Polygraph & Forensic Credibility Assessment*, 46(2), 132-145.
22. Dawson, E., Hartwig, M., & Brimbal, L. (2015). Interviewing to elicit information: Using priming to promote disclosure. *Law and Human Behavior*, 39(5), 443-450. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000136>
23. Dawson, E., Hartwig, M., Brimbal, L., & Denisenkov, P. (2017). A room with a view: Setting influences information disclosure in investigative interviews. *Law and Human Behavior*, 41(4), 333-343. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000244>
24. Deeb, H., Vrij, A., & Leal, S. (2020). The effects of a model statement on information elicitation and deception detection in multiple interviews. *Acta Psychologica*, 207, 103080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2020.103080>
25. Deeb, H., Vrij, A., Leal, S., & Burkhardt, J. (2021). The effects of sketching while narrating on information elicitation and deception detection in multiple interviews. *Acta Psychologica*, 213, 103236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2020.103236>
26. Deeb, H., Vrij, A., Leal, S., & Mann, S. (2021). Combining the model statement and the sketching while narrating interview techniques to elicit information and detect lies in multiple interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 35(6), 1478-1491. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3880>

27. Deeb, H., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Fallon, M., Mann, S., Luther, K., & Granhag, P. A. (2022). Sketching routes to elicit information and cues to deceit. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 36(5), 1049-1059. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3989>
28. Deeb, H., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., & Burkhardt, J. (2022). The model sketch for enhancing lie detection and eliciting information. *Brain Sciences*, 12(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12091180>
29. Dhami, M. K., Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Desai, S. (2017). Development of an information sheet providing rapport advice for interpreters in police interviews. *Police Practice and Research*, 18(3), 291-305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2017.1291580>
30. Dhami, M. K., Goodman-Delahunty, J., Martschuk, N., Cheung, S., & Belton, I. (2020). Disengaging and rehabilitating high-value detainees: A small-scale qualitative study. *Journal for Deradicalization*, 22, 50-79. <http://journals.sfu.ca/jd/index.php/jd/article/view/315>
31. Dianiska, R. E., Swanner, J. K., Brimbal, L., and Meissner, C. A. (2021). Using disclosure, common ground, and verification to build rapport and elicit information. *Psychology, Public Policy, & Law*, 27(3), 107-115. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000313>
32. Doherty, S., Martschuk, N., Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Hale, S. (2022). An eye-movement analysis of overt visual attention during consecutive and simultaneous interpreting modes in a remotely interpreted investigative interview. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 764460. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.764460>
33. Driskell, J. E. (2012). Effectiveness of deception detection training: A meta-analysis. *Psychology, Crime, & Law*, 18(8), 713-731. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2010.535820>

34. Driskell, J. E., & Driskell, T. (2013). Gathering information in field settings: A social dynamics approach. *The Military Psychologist*, 28(3), 9-13.
https://www.militarypsych.org/uploads/8/5/4/5/85456500/military_psychologist_28-3.pdf
35. Driskell, J. E., King, J., & Driskell, T. (2014). Conducting applied experimental research. In M. Webster, Jr., & J. Sell (Eds.), *Laboratory experiments in the social sciences* (pp. 451-472). Elsevier Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-404681-8.00020-0>
36. Driskell, J. E., Salas, E., & Driskell, T. (2012). Social indicators of deception. *Human Factors*, 54(4), 577-588. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720812446338>
37. Driskell, T., & Salas, E. (2015). Investigative interviewing: Harnessing the power of the team. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 19(4), 273-289.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/gdn0000036>
38. Driskell, T., Blickensderfer, E. L., & Salas, E. (2013). Is three a crowd? Examining rapport in investigative interviews. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 17(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029686>
39. Duke, M. C., Wood, J. M., Bollin, B., Scullin, M., & LaBianca, J. (2018). Development of the rapport scales for investigative interviews and interrogations (RS3i), interviewee version. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 24(1), 64-79.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000147>
40. Duke, M. C., Wood, J. M., Bollin, B., Scullin, M., & LaBianca, J. (2018). *Rapport scales for investigative interviews and interrogations-interviewee version (RS3i)* [Inventory/Questionnaire]. PsycTESTS. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t66046-000>

41. Duke, M. C., Wood, J. M., Magee, J., & Escobar, H. (2018). The effectiveness of army field manual interrogation approaches for educing information and building rapport. *Law and Human Behavior*, 42(5), 442-457. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000299>
42. Durante, F., Fiske, S. T., Gelfand, M. J., Crippa, F., Suttora, C., Stillwell, A., Asbrock, F., Aycan, Z., Bye, H. H., Carlsson, R., Björklund, F., Dagher, M., Geller, A., Larsen, C. A., Abdel Latif, A. H., Mähönen, T. A., Jasinskaja-Lahti, I., & Teymoori, A. (2017). Ambivalent stereotypes link to peace, conflict, and inequality across 38 nations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(4), 669-674. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611874114>
43. Evans, J. R., & Michael, S. W. (2014). Detecting deception in non-native English speakers. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(2), 226-237. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.2990>
44. Evans, J. R., Houston, K. A., Meissner, C. A., Ross, A. B., Labianca, J. R., Woestehoff, S. A., & Kleinman, S. M. (2014). An empirical evaluation of intelligence-gathering interrogation techniques from the United States Army Field Manual. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 867-875. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3065>
45. Evans, J. R., Meissner, C. A., Brandon, S. E., Russano, M. B., & Kleinman, S. M. (2010). Criminal versus HUMINT interrogations: The importance of psychological science to improving interrogative practice. *Journal of Psychiatry & Law*, 38(1-2), 215-249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009318531003800110>
46. Evans, J. R., Meissner, C. A., Ross, A. B., Houston, K. A., Russano, M. B., & Horgan, A. J. (2013). Obtaining guilty knowledge in human intelligence interrogations: Comparing accusatorial and information-gathering approaches with a novel experimental paradigm. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 2(2), 83-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.03.002>

47. Evans, J. R., Michael, S. W., Meissner, C. A., & Brandon, S. E. (2013). Validating a new assessment method for deception detection: Introducing a psychologically based credibility assessment tool. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 2(1), 33-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.02.002>
48. Ewens, S., Vrij, A., Jang, M., & Jo, E. (2014). Drop the small talk when establishing baseline behaviour in interviews. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 11(3), 244-252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1414>
49. Ewens, S., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Jo, E., & Fisher, R. P. (2016). The effect of interpreters on eliciting information, cues to deceit and rapport. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 21(2), 286-304. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12067>
50. Ewens, S., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Jo, E., Shaboltas, A., Ivanova, M., Granskaya, J., & Houston, K. (2016). Using the model statement to elicit information and cues to deceit from native speakers, non-native speakers, and those talking through an interpreter. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 30(6), 854-862. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3270>
51. Ewens, S., Vrij, A., Mann, S., & Leal, S. (2016). Using the reverse order technique with non-native speakers or through an interpreter. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 30(2), 242-249. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3196>
52. Ewens, S., Vrij, A., Mann, S., Leal, S., Jo, E., & Houston, K. (2017). The effect of the presence and seating position of an interpreter on eliciting information and cues to deceit. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*, 23(2), 180-200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2016.1239100>

53. Ferreira, P. A., Curran, B., & Meissner, C. A. (2025). The Miranda waiver literature: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000466>
54. Fisher, R. P., Mosser, A. E., Molinaro, P., & Satin, G. E. (2015). Cognitive interview techniques. In A. E. O'Reilly & A. D. Pass (Eds.), *Forensic science* (pp. 244-247). Salem Press.
55. Fisher, R. P., Schreiber Compo, N., Rivard, J., & Hirn, D. (2014). Interviewing witnesses. In T.J. Perfect & D.S. Lindsay (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of applied memory* (pp. 559-578). SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446294703.n31>
56. Fiske, S. T., & Durante, F. (2016). Stereotype content across cultures: Variations on a few themes. In M. J. Gelfand, C. Y. Chiu, & Y. Y. Hong (Eds.), *Advances in culture and psychology: Handbook of advances in culture and psychology* (Vol. 6, pp. 209-258). Oxford University Press.
57. Geiselman, R. E., & Fisher, R. P. (2014). Interviewing witnesses and victims. In M. St.-Yves (Ed.), *Investigative interviewing: The essentials* (pp. 29-62). Carswell Publishers.
58. Gelfand, M. J., Severance, L., Lee, T., Bruss, C. B., Lun, J., Abdel-Latif, A. H., Al-Moghazy, A. A., & Ahmed, S. M. (2015). Culture and getting to yes: The linguistic signature of creative agreements in the United States and Egypt. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(7), 967-989. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2026>
59. Giebels, E., Oostinga, M. S., Taylor, P. J., & Curtis, J. L. (2017). The cultural dimension of uncertainty avoidance impacts police–civilian interaction. *Law and Human Behavior*, 41(1), 93-102. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000227>

60. Giolla, E. M., & Luke, T. J. (2021). Does the cognitive approach to lie detection improve the accuracy of human observers? *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 35(2), 385-392.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3777>
61. Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2016). Insights on investigative interviewing from practitioners and suspects in Indonesia, the Philippines, and Sri Lanka. In D. Walsh, G. E. Oxburgh, A. D. Redlich, & T. Myklebust (Eds.), *International developments and practices in investigative interviewing and interrogation: Suspects* (Vol. 2, pp. 18- 33). Routledge Press.
62. Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Howes, L. M. (2016). Social persuasion to develop rapport in high stakes interviews: Qualitative analyses of Asian-Pacific practices. *Policing and Society*, 26(3), 270-290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2014.942848>
63. Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Howes, L. M. (2019). High-stakes interviews and rapport development: Practitioners' perceptions of interpreter impact. *Policing and Society*, 29(1), 100-117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2017.1293051>
64. Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Martschuk, N. (2016). Risks and benefits of interpreter-mediated police interviews. *Varstvoslovje: Journal of Criminal Justice and Security*, 18(4), 451-471. https://www.fvv.um.si/rV/arhiv/2016-4/05_Goodman-Delahunty%20Martschuk_rV_2016-4.pdf
65. Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Martschuk, N. (2020). Securing reliable information in investigative interviews: Coercive and noncoercive strategies preceding turning points. *Police Practice and Research*, 21(2), 152-171.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2018.1531752>
66. Goodman-Delahunty, J., Corbo-Crehan, A., & Brandon, S. (2022). The ethical practice of police psychology. In P. Marques & N. Paulino (Eds.), *Police psychology: New*

trends in forensic science (pp. 3-21). Elsevier Academic Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816544-7.00001-2>

67. Goodman-Delahunty, J., Martschuk, N., & Dhami, M. K. (2014). Interviewing high value detainees: Securing cooperation and disclosures. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 883-897. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3087>
68. Goodman-Delahunty, J., Martschuk, N., Hale, S. B., & Brandon, S. E. (2020). Interpreted police interviews: A review of contemporary research. In M. Miller and B. Bornstein (Eds.), *Advances in psychology and law* (Vol. 5, pp. 83-136). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-54678-6_4
69. Goodman-Delahunty, J., O'Brien, K., & Gumbert-Jourjon, T. (2013). Police professionalism in interviews with high value detainees: Cross-cultural endorsement of procedural justice. *The Journal of the Institute of Justice and International Studies*, 13, 65-82.
70. Granhag, P. A., Giolla, E. M., Sooniste, T., Stromwall, L., & Liu-Jonsson, M. (2016). Discriminating between statements of true and false intent: The impact of repeated interviews and strategic questioning. *Journal of Applied Security Research*, 11(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19361610.2016.1104230>
71. Granhag, P. A., Kleinman, S. M., & Oleszkiewicz, S. (2016). The Scharff technique: On how to effectively elicit intelligence from human sources. *International Journal of Intelligence and Counterintelligence*, 29(1), 132-150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08850607.2015.1083341>
72. Granhag, P. A., Montecinos, S. C., & Oleszkiewicz, S. (2015). Eliciting intelligence from sources: The first scientific test of the Scharff technique. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 20(1), 96-113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12015>

73. Granhag, P. A., Oleszkiewicz, S., & Kleinman, S. (2016). Eliciting information from small cells of sources. *Journal of Policing, Intelligence, and Counter Terrorism*, 11(2), 143-162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18335330.2016.1215507>
74. Granhag, P. A., Oleszkiewicz, S., Stromwall, L. A., & Kleinman, S. M. (2015). Eliciting intelligence with the Scharff technique: Interviewing more and less cooperative and capable sources. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 21(1), 100-110. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000030>
75. Hale, S., Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Martschuk, N. (2019). Interpreter performance in police interviews: Differences between trained interpreters and untrained bilinguals. *The Interpreter and Translator Trainer*, 13(2), 107-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750399x.2018.1541649>
76. Hale, S., Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Martschuk, N. (2020). Interactional management in a simulated police interview: Interpreters' strategies. In M. Mason & F. Rock (Eds.). *The discourse of police investigation* (pp. 200-226). University of Chicago Press.
77. Hale, S., Goodman-Delahunty, J., Martschuk, N., & Doherty, S. (2022). The effects of mode on interpreting performance in a simulated police interview. *Translation and Interpreting Studies*, 17(2), 264-286. <https://doi.org/10.1075/tis.19081.hal>
78. Hale, S., Goodman-Delahunty, J., Martschuk, N., & Lim, J. (2022). Does interpreter location make a difference? A study of remote vs face-to-face interpreting in simulated police interviews. *Interpreting*, 24(2), 221-253. <https://doi.org/10.1075/intp.00077.hal>
79. Hale, S., Martschuk, N., Goodman-Delahunty, J., Taibi, M., & Xu, H. (2020). Interpreting profanity in police interviews. *Multilingua*, 39(4), 369-393. <https://doi.org/10.1515/multi-2019-0065>

80. Hale, S. B., Martschuk, N., Lim, J., Goodman-Delahunty, J., & Brandon, S. (2025). Training interpreters about investigative interview techniques: Evaluation of an online course. *The Interpreter and Translator Trainer*, 1-20.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1750399X.2025.2506259>
81. Harvey, A. C., Vrij, A., Hope, L., Leal, S., & Mann, S. (2017). A stability bias effect among deceivers. *Law and Human Behavior*, 41(6), 519-529.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000258>
82. Harvey, A. C., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Deeb, H., Hope, L., & Mann, S. (2020). Amplifying recall after delays via initial interviewing: Inoculating truth-tellers' memory as a function of encoding quality. *Acta Psychologica*, 209, 103130.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2020.103130>
83. Harvey, A. C., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Hope, L., & Mann, S. (2017). Deception and decay: Verbal lie detection as a function of delay and encoding quality. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(3), 306-318.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.04.002>
84. Harvey, A. C., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Hope, L., & Mann, S. (2019). Amplifying deceivers' flawed metacognition: Encouraging disclosures after delays with a model statement. *Acta Psychologica*, 200, 102935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2019.102935>
85. Horgan, A. J., Russano, M. B., Meissner, C. A., & Evans, J. R. (2012). Minimization and maximization techniques: Assessing the perceived consequences of confessing and confession diagnosticity. *Psychology, Crime, & Law*, 18(1), 65-78.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2011.561801>

86. Houston, K. A., & Russano, M. B. (2025). What motivations drive resistance and cooperation during investigative interviews? *Journal of Criminal Psychology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JCP-11-2024-0121>
87. Houston, K. A., Russano, M. B., & Ricks, E. P. (2017). "Any friend of yours is a friend of mine": Investigating the utilization of an interpreter in an investigative interview. *Psychology, Crime, & Law*, 23(5), 413-426.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2017.1290091>
88. Hwang, H. C., & Matsumoto, D. (2014). Detecting deception: Are you being lied to? *Tactics and Preparedness*, 6, 6-7. <https://www.humintell.com/2014/10/detecting-deception-are-you-being-lied-to/>
89. Hwang, H. C., & Matsumoto, D. (2014). Sender ethnicity differences in lie detection accuracy and confidence. *GSTF Journal of Law and Social Sciences (JLSS)*, 3(2), 15-19. https://doi.org/10.5176/2251-2853_3.2.152
90. Hwang, H. C., & Matsumoto, D. (2020). The effects of liking on informational elements in investigative interviews. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 17(3), 280-295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1556>
91. Hwang, H. C., Matsumoto, D., & Sandoval, V. (2016). Linguistic cues of deception across multiple language groups in a mock crime context. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 13(1), 56-69. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1442>
92. Izotovas, A., Vrij, A., Hope, L., Mann, S., Granhag, P. A., & Stromwall, L. A. (2018). Facilitating memory-based lie detection in immediate and delayed interviewing: The role of mnemonics. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 32(5), 561-574.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3435>

93. Izotovas, A., Vrij, A., Strömwall, L. A., & Mann, S. (2020). Facilitating memory-based lie detection in immediate and delayed interviewing: The role of sketch mnemonic. *Psichologija*, 61, 68-89. <https://doi.org/10.15388/Psichol.2020.16>
94. Jackson, J. C., Choi, V. K., & Gelfand, M. J. (2019). Revenge: A multilevel review and synthesis. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 70(1), 319-345. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-103305>
95. Jackson, J. C., Gelfand M. J., Ayub, N., & Wheeler J. (2020). Together from afar: Introducing a diary contact technique for improving intergroup relations. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 5(1), 15-33. <https://behavioralpolicy.org/articles/together-from-afar-introducing-a-diary-contact-technique-for-improving-intergroup-relations/>
96. Kelly, C. E., & Valencia, E. J. (2021). You ask and do not receive, because you ask wrongly. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 23(1), 42-54. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461355720955077>
97. Kelly, C. E., Abdel-Salam, S., Miller, J. C., & Redlich, A. D. (2015). Social Identity and the perceived effectiveness of interrogation methods. *Investigative Interviewing: Research and Practice*, 7(2), 24-42. <https://www.iiirg.org/journal>
98. Kelly, C. E., Dawson, E., & Hartwig, M. (2021). Context manipulation in police interviews: A field experiment. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 17(1), 67-86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-019-09389-8>
99. Kelly, C. E., Meehan, N., McClary, M., & Jenaway, E. M. (2021). Just a normal conversation: Investigative interviews in a county jail. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 48(8), 1166-1184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854821993509>

100. Kelly, C. E., Miller, J. C., & Redlich, A. D. (2016). The dynamic nature of interrogation. *Law and Human Behavior*, 40(3), 295-309.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000172>
101. Kelly, C. E., Miller, J. C., Redlich, A. D., & Kleinman, S. M. (2013). A taxonomy of interrogation methods. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 19(2), 165-178.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030310>
102. Kelly, C. E., Redlich, A. D., & Miller, J. C. (2015). Examining the meso-level domains of the interrogation taxonomy. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 21(2), 179-191.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000034>
103. Kelly, C. E., Russano, M. B., Miller, J. C., & Redlich, A. D. (2019). On the road (to admission): Engaging suspects with minimization. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 25(3), 166-180. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000199>
104. Kelly, C.E., Jenaway, E.M., Kyong-McClain, A., McClary, M., & Meehan, N. (2024). The resister, the talker and the confessor: A closer look at suspect responses in investigative interviews. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. Advanced online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1640>
105. Krizan, Z., Miller, A. J., & Meissner, C. A. (2021). Sleep and interrogation: Does losing sleep impact criminal history disclosure? *Sleep*, 44(10), 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsab124>
106. Krizan, Z., Miller, A. J., Meissner, C. A., & Jones, M. (2023). The impact of alertness vs. fatigue on interrogators in an actigraphic study of field investigations. *Scientific Reports*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-32975-w>
107. Leal, S., Vrij, A., Deeb, H., & Fisher, R. P. (2024). In my opinion you are wrong! Adding a model statement to the Devil's Advocate Approach to detect true and false opinions.

- Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 14(2), 241–254. <https://doi.org/10.1037/mac0000201>
108. Leal, S., Vrij, A., Warmelink, L., Vernham, Z., & Fisher, R. P. (2015). You cannot hide your telephone lies: Providing a model statement as an aid to detect deception in insurance telephone calls. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 20(1), 129-146. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12017>
 109. Leins, D. A. (2019). Using baseline to diagnose internal states? Listen closely. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 16(2), 138-149. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1524>
 110. Leins, D. A., & Zimmerman, L. A. (2019). Navigating the interview: Judgment and decision making in investigative interviewing. In J. J. Dickinson, N. S. Compo, R. N. Carol, B. L. Schwartz, & M. R. MCALEY (Eds.), *Evidence-based investigative interviewing: Applying cognitive principles* (pp. 156-176). Routledge Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315160276-9>
 111. Leins, D. A., Fisher, R. P., & Ross, S. J. (2013). Exploring liars' strategies for creating deceptive reports. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 18(1), 141-151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8333.2011.02041.x>
 112. Leins, D. A., Fisher, R. P., & Vrij, A. (2012). Drawing on liars' lack of cognitive flexibility: Detecting deception through varying report modes. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 26(4), 601-607. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.2837>
 113. Leins, D. A., Fisher, R. P., Pludwinski, L., Rivard, J., & Robertson, B. (2014). Interview protocols to facilitate human intelligence sources' recollections of meetings. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 926-935. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3041>

114. Leins, D. A., Zimmerman, L. A., & Zabecki, J. M. (2017). An exploration of interpreter performance in intelligence gathering interviews. *Investigative Interviewing: Research and Practice*, 8(1), 44-58.
115. Levine, T. R., Clare, D. D., Blair, J. P., McCornack, S., Morrison, K., & Park, H. S. (2014). Expertise in deception detection involves actively prompting diagnostic information rather than passive behavioral observation. *Human Communication Research*, 40(4), 442-462. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hcre.12032>
116. Luke, T. J., & Granhag, P. A. (2023). The Shift-of-Strategy (SoS) approach: Using evidence strategically to influence suspects' counter-interrogation strategies. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 29(7), 696-721. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2022.2030738>
117. Luke, T. J. (2021). A meta-analytic review of experimental tests of the interrogation technique of Hanns Joachim Scharff. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 35(2), 360-373. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3771>
118. Luke, T. J., Dawson, E., Hartwig, M., & Granhag, P. A. (2014). How awareness of possible evidence induces forthcoming counter-interrogation strategies. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 876-882. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3019>
119. Luke, T. J., Hartwig, M., Brimbal, L., Chan, G., Jordan, S., Joseph, E., Osborne, J., & Granhag, P. A. (2013). Interviewing to elicit cues to deception: Improving strategic use of evidence with general-to-specific framing of evidence. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 28(1), 54-62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-012-9113-7>
120. Luke, T. J., Hartwig, M., Joseph, E., Brimbal, L., Chan, G., Dawson, E., Jordan, S., Donovan, P., & Granhag, P. A. (2016). Training in the Strategic Use of Evidence technique: Improving deception detection accuracy of American law enforcement

- officers. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 31(4), 270-278.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-015-9187-0>
121. Luke, T. J., Hartwig, M., Shamash, B., & Granhag, P. A. (2016). Countermeasures against the strategic use of evidence technique: Effects on suspects' strategies. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 13(2), 131-147.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1448>
122. Mann, S., Vrij, A., Shaw, D. J., Leal, S., Ewens, S., Hillman, J., Granhag, P. A., & Fisher, R. P. (2013). Two heads are better than one? How to effectively use two interviewers to elicit cues to deception. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 18(2), 324-340.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8333.2012.02055.x>
123. Matsumoto, D., & Hwang, H. C. (2015). Differences in word usage by truth tellers and liars in written statements and an investigative interview after a mock crime. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 12(2), 199-216.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1423>
124. Matsumoto, D., & Hwang, H. C. (2018). Social influence in investigative interviews: The effects of reciprocity. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 32(2), 163-170.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3390>
125. Matsumoto, D., & Hwang, H. C. (2019). Social influence in investigative interviews: The effects of authority on informational elements produced in interviews and written statements. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 33(4), 516-526.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3488>
126. Matsumoto, D., & Hwang, H. C. (2021). An initial investigation into the nature and function of rapport in investigative interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 35(4), 988-998.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3825>

127. Matsumoto, D., Hwang, H. C., & Sandoval, V. A. (2015). Cross-language applicability of linguistic features associated with veracity and deception. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 30(4), 229-241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-014-9155-0>
128. Matsumoto, D., Hwang, H. C., & Sandoval, V. A. (2015). Ethnic similarities and differences in linguistic indicators of veracity and lying in a moderately high stakes scenario. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 30(1), 15-26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-013-9137-7>
129. Matsumoto, D., Hwang, H. C., & Sandoval, V. A. (2015). The funnel approach to questioning and eliciting information. *Tactics and Preparedness*, January 2015, 7-10. <http://davidmatsumoto.com/content/TPjan15-info-mat-hwa-sand%201.pdf>
130. Matsumoto, D., Hwang, H. C., Skinner, L. G., & Frank, M. G. (2014). Positive effects in detecting lies from training to recognize behavioral anomalies. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 29(1), 28-35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-012-9115-5>
131. Matsumoto, D., Hwang, H. C., Skinner, L., & Frank, M. G. (2011). Evaluating truthfulness and detecting deception. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, June 2011, 1-8. <https://leb.fbi.gov/articles/featured-articles/evaluating-truthfulness-and-detecting-deception>
132. Matsumoto, D., Skinner, L. G., & Hwang, H. C. (2014). Reading people: Behavioral anomalies and indicator-based investigative interviewing. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, March 2014. <https://leb.fbi.gov/articles/featured-articles/reading-people-behavioral-anomalies-and-investigative-interviewing>
133. Matsumoto, D., Wilson, M., & Helmy, M. (2025). Cultural similarities in rapport judgments of silent interactions. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 56(5), 443-449. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220221251332549>

134. Matsumoto, D., Wilson, M., Helmy, M., Garcla, J. M. G., & Vasquez, A. N. A. (2023). Cultural similarities and differences in judgments of rapport. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220221231217710>
135. May, L., & Granhag, P. A. (2016). Techniques for eliciting human intelligence: Examining possible order effects of the Scharff tactics. *Psychiatry, Psychology, and Law*, 32(2), 275-287. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2015.1054410>
136. May, L., Granhag, P. A., & Oleszkiewicz, S. (2014). Eliciting intelligence using the Scharff-technique: Closing in on the confirmation / disconfirmation tactic. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 11(2), 136-150. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1412>
137. Meehan, N., Kelly, C. E., & McClary, M. (2019). The snitching hour: Investigations and interviewing in a county jail. *Security Journal*, 32(3), 198-217. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41284-018-0157-y>
138. Meissner, C. A., Kelly, C. E., & Woestehoff, S. A. (2015). Improving the effectiveness of suspect interrogations. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 11, 211-233. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-120814-121657>
139. Meissner, C. A., Redlich, A. D., Bhatt, S., & Brandon, S. (2012). Interview and interrogation methods and their effects on true and false confessions. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 13(1), 1-49. <https://doi.org/10.4073/csr.2012.13>
140. Meissner, C. A., Redlich, A. D., Michael, S. W., Evans, J. R., Camilletti, C. R., Bhatt, S., & Brandon, S. (2014). Accusatorial and information-gathering interrogation methods and their effects on true and false confessions: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 10(4), 459-486. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-014-9207-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-014-9207-6)

141. Meissner, C. A., Surmon-Bohr, F., Oleszkiewicz, S., & Alison, L. J. (2017). Developing an evidence-based perspective on interrogation: A review of the U.S. government's High-Value Detainee Interrogation Group research program. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 23(4), 438-457. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000136>
142. Miller, J. C., Redlich, A. D., & Kelly, C. E. (2018). Accusatorial and information-gathering interview and interrogation methods: A multi-country comparison. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*, 24(9), 935-956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2018.1467909>
143. Molinaro P. F., Fisher, R. P., Mosser, A. E., & Satin, G. E. (2019). Train-the-trainer: Methodology to learn the cognitive interview. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 16(1), 32-43. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1518>
144. Monahan, J. (2012). The individual risk assessment of terrorism. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 18(2), 167-205. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025792>
145. Morgan, C. A., Rabinowitz, Y. G., Hilts, D., Weller, C. E., & Coric, V. (2013). Efficacy of modified cognitive interviewing, compared to human judgments in detecting deception related to bio-threat activities. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 6(3), 100-119. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.6.3.9>
146. Morgan, C. A., Rabinowitz, Y., Leidy, R., & Coric, V. (2014). Efficacy of combining interview techniques in detecting deception related to bio-threat issues. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 32(3), 269-285. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsl.2098>
147. Narchet, F. M., Russano, M. B., Kleinman, S. M., & Meissner, C. A. (2016). A (nearly) 360° perspective of the interrogation process: Communicating with high-value targets. In G. Oxburgh, T. Myklebust, T. Grant, & R. Milne (Eds.), *Communication in investigative and legal contexts: Integrated approaches from forensic psychology*,

- linguistics, and law enforcement* (pp. 159-178). Wiley-Blackwell.
- <https://doi.org/10.1002/19781118769133.ch8>
148. Neequaye, D. A., & Granhag, P. A. (2023). Using shared experiences to recruit committed human intelligence sources: Exploring the shared attention mechanism and the role of social connection. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 29(1), 48-64.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12251>
 149. Neequaye, D. A., Granhag, P. A., Segerberg, A., & Petterson, D. (2023). Examining illicit networks in laboratory experiments with a preliminary focus on communication. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 28(1), 150-164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12230>
 150. Neequaye, D.A., Granhag, P. A., & Luke, T.J. (2023). Exploring how members of illicit networks navigate investigative interviews. *Royal Society Open Science*, 10(5).
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.230450>
 151. Nowak, A., Gelfand, M. J., Borkowski, W., Cohen, D., & Hernandez, I. (2016). The evolutionary basis of honor cultures. *Psychological Science*, 27(1), 12-24.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615602860>
 152. Nyström, L., Luke, T. J., Granhag, P. A., Dönmez, A.-K., Ekelund, M., & Stern, P. D. (2024). Advancing the Shift-of-Strategy approach: Shifting suspects' strategies in extended interviews. *Law and Human Behavior*, 48(1), 50-66.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000554>
 153. Oleszkiewicz, S, Granhag, P. A., & Luke, T. J. (2024). Perkins operations: Tactics used in undercover interactions. *International Journal of Intelligence and Counterintelligence*, 37(4), 1193-1209. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08850607.2023.2257544>

154. Oleszkiewicz, S., & Watson, S. J. (2021). A meta-analytic review of the timing for disclosing evidence when interviewing suspects. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 35(2), 342-359.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3767>
155. Oleszkiewicz, S., Granhag, P. A., & Kleinman, S. M. (2014). On eliciting intelligence from human sources: Contextualizing the Scharff-technique. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 898-907. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3073>
156. Oleszkiewicz, S., Granhag, P. A., & Kleinman, S. M. (2017). Gathering human intelligence via repeated interviewing: Further empirical tests of the Scharff technique. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*, 23(7), 666-681.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2017.1296150>
157. Oleszkiewicz, S., Madfors, M., Jones, M., & Vredeveltdt, A. (2023). Proximity-based evidence disclosure: Providing an operational purpose for disclosing evidence in investigative interviews. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 29(3), 302-319.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000396>
158. Oostinga, M. S. D., Giebels, E., & Taylor, P. J. (2018). "An error is feedback": The experience of communication error management in crisis negotiations. *Police Practice and Research*, 19(1), 17-30.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2017.1326007>
159. Oostinga, M. S. D., Giebels, E., & Taylor, P. J. (2018). Communication error management in law enforcement interactions: A receiver's perspective. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*, 24(2), 134-155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2017.1390112>
160. Oostinga, M. S., Giebels, E., & Taylor, P. J. (2020). Communication error management in law enforcement interactions: A sender's perspective. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 47(1), 39-60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854819870856>

161. Redlich, A. D., Kelly, C. E., & Miller, J. C. (2014). The who, what, and why of human intelligence collection: Self-reported measures of interrogation methods. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 817-828. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3040>
162. Rivard, J. R., Fisher, R. P., Robertson, B., & Mueller, D. H. (2014). Testing the cognitive interview with professional interviewers: Enhancing recall of specific details of recurring events. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 917-925. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3026>
163. Russano, M. B., Meissner, C. A., Atkinson, D. J., Brandon, S. E., Wells, S., Kleinman, S. M., Ray, D. G., & Jones, M. S. (2024). Evaluating the effectiveness of a 5-day training on science-based methods of interrogation with U.S. federal, state, and local law enforcement investigators. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 30(2), 105-120. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000422>
164. Russano, M. B., Narchet, F. M., & Kleinman, S. M. (2014). Analysts, interpreters, and intelligence interrogations: Perceptions and insights. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 829-846. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3070>
165. Russano, M. B., Narchet, F. M., Kleinman, S. M., & Meissner, C. A. (2014). Structured interviews of experienced HUMINT interrogators. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 847-859. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3069>
166. Sandoval, T., Matsumoto, D., Hwang, H. C., & Skinner, L. (2015). Exploiting verbal markers of deception across ethnic lines: An investigative tool for cross-cultural interviewing. *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, July 2015, 1-9. <https://leb.fbi.gov/articles/featured-articles/exploiting-verbal-markers-of-deception-across-ethnic-lines-an-investigative-tool-for-cross-cultural-interviewing->

167. Satin, G. E., & Fisher, R. P. (2019). Investigative utility of the cognitive interview: Describing and finding perpetrators. *Law and Human Behavior*, 43(5), 491-506.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000326>
168. Shaw, D. J., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Hillman, J., Granhag, P. A., & Fisher, R. P. (2013). Expect the unexpected? Variations in question type elicit cues to deception in joint interviewer contexts. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 27(3), 336-343.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.2911>
169. Shaw, D. J., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Hillman, J., Granhag, P. A., & Fisher, R. P. (2014). "We'll take it from here": The effect of changing interviewers in information gathering interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 28(6), 908-916.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3072>
170. Shaw, D. J., Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Hillman, J., Granhag, P. A., & Fisher, R. P. (2015). Mimicry and investigative interviewing: Using deliberate mimicry to elicit information and cues to deceit. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 12(3), 217-230. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1438>
171. Shaw, D. J., Vrij, A., Mann, S., Leal, S., & Hillman, J. (2014). The guilty adjustment: Response trends on the symptom validity test. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 19(2), 240-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8333.2012.02070.x>
172. Sivasubramaniam, D., & Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2021). International consensus on effective and ineffective interviewing strategies: A survey of experienced practitioners. *Police Practice and Research*, 22(1), 921-937.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2019.1628756>
173. Sivasubramaniam, D., Goodman-Delahunty, J., Cullen, H. J., & Gogan, T. (2025). Evaluations of procedural justice: What drives practitioners' support for interview

procedures?, *Psychology, Crime & Law*.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2025.2470265>

174. Sivasubramaniam, D., Goodman-Delahunty, J., Martin, M., & Fraser, M. (2014). Protecting human rights in Australian investigative interviews: The role of recording and interview duration limits. *Australian Journal of Human Rights*, 20(2), 107-132.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1323-238x.2014.11882152>
175. Sivasubramaniam, D., & Heuer, L. (2012). Procedural justice evaluations in interrogations. In B.L. Cutler (Ed.), *Conviction of the innocent: Lessons from psychological research* (pp. 79-102). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
176. Sooniste, T., Granhag, P. A., & Stromwall, L. A. (2017). Training police investigators to interview to detect false intentions. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 32(2), 152-162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-016-9206-9>
177. Sooniste, T., Granhag, P. A., Stromwall, L. A., & Vrij, A. (2015). Statements about true and false intentions: Using the cognitive interview to magnify the differences. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 56(4), 371-378.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12216>
178. Sooniste, T., Granhag, P. A., Stromwall, L. A., & Vrij, A. (2016). Discriminating between true and false intent among small cells of suspects. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 21(2), 344-357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12063>
179. Surmon-Bohr, F., Alison, L. J., Shortland, N. D., & Alison, E. K. (2020). Under pressure: Imminent threat interviews with terrorist suspects. In L. Alison, E. Alison, N. Shortland, & F. Surmon-Bohr (Eds.), *ORBIT: The science of rapport-based interviewing for law enforcement, security, and military* (pp. 113–135). Oxford University Press.

180. Surmon-Böhr, F., Alison, L., Christiansen, P., & Alison, E. (2020). The right to silence and the permission to talk: Motivational interviewing and high value detainees. *American Psychologist*, 75(7), 1011-1021. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000588>
181. Swanner, J. K., Meissner, C. A., Atkinson, D. J., & Dianiska, R. E. (2016). Developing diagnostic, evidence-based approaches to interrogation. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 5(3), 295-301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2016.07.001>
182. Vredeveltdt, A., Tredoux, C. G., Nortje, A., Kempen, K., Puljevic, C., & Labuschagne, G. N. (2015). A field evaluation of the Eye-Closure Interview with witnesses of serious crimes. *Law and Human Behavior*, 39(2), 189-197. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000113>
183. Vrij, A., & Vrij, S. (2020). Complications travel: A cross-cultural comparison of the proportion of complications as a verbal cue to deceit. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 17(1), 3-16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1538>
184. Vrij, A., Granhag, P. A., & Porter, S. (2010). Pitfalls and opportunities in nonverbal and verbal lie detection. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 11(3), 89-121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100610390861>
185. Vrij, A., Jundi, S., Hope, L., Hillman, J., Gahr, E., Leal, S., Warmelink, L., Mann, S., Vernham, Z. & Granhag, P. A. (2012). Collective interviewing of suspects. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 1(1), 41-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2011.12.002>
186. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Deeb, H., & Fisher, R. P. (2023). Verbal veracity indicators and the efficacy of countermeasures in three non-WEIRD populations. *Journal of Psychology & Behavior Research*, 5(1), 34-63. <https://doi.org/10.22158/jpbr.v5n1p34>

187. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Deeb, H., & Fisher, R. P. (2025). Omission lies: The effect of omitting little or much information on verbal veracity cues. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*, 17(1), 25-37. <https://doi.org/10.5093/ejpalc2025a3>
188. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Deeb, H., Castro, C., Fisher, R. P., Mann, S., Jo, E., & Alami, N. H. (2022). The effect of using countermeasures in interpreter-absent and interpreter-present interviews. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*, 14(2), 53-72. <https://doi.org/10.5093/ejpalc2022a6>
189. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Fisher, R. P., Mann, S., Dalton, G., Jo, E., Shaboltas, A., Khaleeva, M., Granskaya, J., & Houston, K. (2018). Sketching as a technique to eliciting information and cues to deceit in interpreter-based interviews. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 7(2), 303-313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.11.001>
190. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Fisher, R. P., Mann, S., Deeb, H., Jo, E., Castro Campos, C., & Hamzeh, S. (2020). The efficacy of using countermeasures in a Model Statement interview. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*, 12(1), 23-34. <https://doi.org/10.5093/ejpalc2020a3>
191. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Fisher, R. P., Mann, S., Jo, E., Shaboltas, A., Khaleeva, M., Granskaya, K., & Houston, K. A. (2019). Eliciting information and cues to deceit through sketching in interpreter-based interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 33(6), 1197-1211. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3566>
192. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Dalton, G., Jo, E., Shaboltas, A., Khaleeva, M., Granskaya, J., & Houston, K. (2017). Using the model statement to elicit information and cues to deceit in interpreter-based interviews. *Acta Psychologica*, 177, 44-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2017.04.011>

193. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Fisher, R. P., Dalton, G., Jo, E., Shaboltas, A., Khaleeva, M., Granskaya, J., & Houston, K. (2018). Using unexpected questions to elicit information and cues to deceit in interpreter-based interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 32(1), 94-104. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3382>
194. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Shaboltas, A., Khaleeva, M., Granskaya, J., & Jo, E. (2019). Using the model statement technique as a lie detection tool: A cross-cultural comparison. *Psychology in Russia: State of the Art*, 12(2), 19-33. <https://doi.org/10.11621/pir.2019.0202>
195. Vrij, A., Leal, S., Mann, S., Vernham, Z., & Brankaert, F. (2015). Translating theory into practice: Evaluating a cognitive lie detection training workshop. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 4(2), 110-120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2015.02.002>
196. Vrij, A., Mann, S., Leal, S., Vernham, Z., & Vaughan, M. (2016). Train the trainers: A first step towards a science-based cognitive lie detection training workshop delivered by a practitioner. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 13(2), 110-130. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1443>
197. Wilson, M., Matsumoto, D., Avendano, A. N., Garcia Garcla, J. M., & Helmy, M. (2022). Social judgments of rapport in investigative interviews across cultures. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 56(5) 496-526. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10693971221119944>
198. Wixted, J. T., Mickes, L., & Fisher, R. P. (2018). Rethinking the reliability of eyewitness memory. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 13(3), 324-335. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617734878>